

**Federal Financial Assistance
Management Improvement Act of 1999**

Public Law 106-107



**Department of Commerce
Annual Report
May 2003 Through May 2004
August 2004**

**Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999
Department of Commerce 2004 Annual Report**

Table of Contents

INTERAGENCY ACITIVITIES

Page

I.	PURPOSE	4
II.	THE YEAR IN REVIEW	4
III.	PROGRESS REPORTS BY AREA	6
A.	Improving the Government-wide Policy Framework	6
B.	Streamlining Pre-Award Actions	8
1.	Enhancing Information about Funding Opportunities	9
2.	Applications--Making the Process Easier	10
3.	Updating Non-procurement Debarment and Suspension Rules	12
4.	Awards	13
C.	Simplifying Post-Award Requirements	14
1.	Cost Principles – Eliminating Needless Differences	14
2.	Post-Award Reporting – Improving the Quality of Infor- mation While Reducing Duplication	15
3.	Audits – Increasing Accountability While Decreasing Burden	17
IV.	THE ROAD AHEAD	18

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ACTIVITIES

V.	OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FUNCTIONS	19
VI.	PARTICIPATION IN THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STREAMLIN- ING AND GRANTS.GOV EFFORTS	19

VII. INTERNAL EFFORTS TO CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT CONDUCTIVE TO GRANTS STREAMLINING AND SIMPLIFICATION	21
VIII. IMPLEMENTING THE RECENT CHANGES AFFECTING THE PRE-AWARD PROCESS.....	22
A. Grants.gov FIND	22
B. Announcement Template	22
C. The Grants.gov Portal for Electronic Applications.....	23
IX. OTHER.....	23

ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF PUBLIC LAW 106-107

I. PURPOSE

This is the third annual progress report, based on the initial plan submitted to the Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in May 2001, describing the collaborative efforts of 26 Federal agencies to streamline and simplify the award and administration of Federal grants.¹ This report covers interagency activities between May 2003 and May 2004. The submission of this annual progress report to the Congress and OMB is required by Section 5 of the Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999 (Public Law [P.L.] 106-107, “the Act”).

II. THE YEAR IN REVIEW

This year was the most productive year since the enactment of P.L. 106-107 in the effort to streamline and simplify the grants process. With the continued commitment of the Federal agencies, government-wide grants streamlining and simplification is progressing and has begun to produce results for grant applicants and recipients. Several important activities related to identifying grant funding opportunities and applying for them reached the point of implementation. We put in place the foundation for a simplified grants policy framework. We also continued to pursue other longer-term efforts to streamline and simplify the grants process, which will be completed in the future. The Federal grant-making agencies continue their commitment to grants streamlining and simplification through their government-wide and agency-specific efforts.

Oversight of P.L. 106-107 has been strengthened with the re-chartering of the Grants Executive Board in May 2004. The Grants Executive Board, which has been providing oversight to the Grants.gov initiative, has expanded its role to include oversight of the grants streamlining and simplification activities under P. L. 106-107. One of the Board’s key responsibilities is to review recommendations of the P.L. 106-107 work groups to determine if they should be referred to OMB for government-wide implementation. The Board replaces the Grants Management Committee of the Chief Financial Officers’ Council, which previously provided P. L. 106-107 oversight. The Board’s membership includes senior officials from 11 of the Federal grant-making agencies supplemented by two positions that will be rotated among the other 15 grant-making agencies. The rotating members will have 6-month appointments.

We are pleased to report progress in all phases of the grants life cycle; however our most significant achievements this past year have been in the pre-award phase. As described in sections III. A and B of this report, we have realized our objectives to:

- ◆ Establish a new title in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) as the first step toward a simplified and unified framework for issuing OMB guidance and agency implementing regulations (section III.A),
- ◆ Deploy the portion of Grants.gov that provides a single website to post synopses of Federal grant

¹ The term “grant” as used in this report includes cooperative agreements.

funding opportunities (section III.B.1),

- ◆ Deploy the mechanism of Grants.gov that allows electronic receipt of grants applications through a single portal (section III.B.2).

Last year we reported on these latter two objectives and their anticipated benefits based on proposals, pilot activities, and limited use. Grants.gov FIND and APPLY are now available for general use. Since deployment of the Grants.gov FIND and APPLY mechanisms, Grants.gov has received affirmative feedback from the grants community as an effective tool for reducing their administrative burden. For example, Larry Naake, Executive Director of the National Association of Counties stated at the December 2003 Grants.gov launch event that, “the greatest obstacles for county officials searching for federal grant opportunities is a lack of awareness of opportunities.... The need is obvious. The [Grants.gov] solution fits the need.” At a recent conference, Mary Louise Resch, Grants Administrator for the city of Lexington, S.C. said that, “for a small municipality such as ours with limited grants staffing, Grants.gov has been a wonderful time saving tool. The ability to review, on a daily basis, postings from a variety of Federal agencies is efficient and effective.”

The Grants.gov portal was also recently recognized by two key industry organizations. The awards received by Grants.gov reflect excellence in delivery of a needed solution to meet streamlined grants practices. The National Grants Management Association, a national organization comprised of grantees and professionals from grant-making agencies, presented Grants.gov with its Electronic Solutions Award for “developing a simple, unified electronic Storefront for interactions between grants applicants and Federal agencies that manage grants.” Grants.gov also received the FOSE Showcase of Excellence presented by the Chief Information Officer Council for government programs and projects that are success stories and use best practices related to the Citizen-Centered Strategy.

The overwhelming success of the Grants.gov portal resulted from the initiative’s proactive approach to working with its stakeholders to obtain input into the portal’s design and deployment plans. Representatives from the grants community and Federal grants staff participated in the establishment of the Grants.gov vision and goals, proof-of-concept and pilot tests, training workshops, and post-deployment evaluations. Grants.gov also established a premier helpdesk capability to support users.

Another key accomplishment was completion of the effort described in section III.C. to standardize language in the OMB cost principles circulars. These changes should minimize the varying interpretations of the cost principles and reduce administrative burden on recipients of Federal grants. As described in section III.C.2, we are continuing our work on changing reporting requirements to reduce redundancy and standardize the information provided.

In addition, in early 2004, OMB launched the Grants Management Line of Business (GM LOB) initiative. The goal of this interagency initiative, under the leadership of the National Science Foundation and the Department of Education, is to identify and recommend to OMB a common government-wide solution or solutions to achieve end-to-end integrated grants management – from initial find and apply (provided through Grants.gov) through award and closeout actions. The GM LOB will define the framework for grants management back-office systems, to include architecture and defining integration with agency management systems, e.g., financial systems, budget systems, property systems and performance management systems. Additionally, the solution proposed by the GM LOB shall promote citizen access, customer service, and financial and technical stewardship, as well as:

- Improve customer access and efficiency of submission process;
- Improve decision making;
- Integrate with Financial Management processes;
- Improve efficiency of reporting procedures in order to increase usable information content; and
- Optimize post-award and closeout actions.

As we will reach the midpoint in the life of P.L. 106-107 during the next year, we expect to see further accomplishments. We will expand and improve what we have already accomplished—by involving more programs and applicants and recipients and making changes based on feedback—and we will work toward fulfilling our remaining objectives. The following sections of this report outline our accomplishments and plans for the future.

III. PROGRESS REPORTS BY AREA

A. Improving the Government-wide Policy Framework

OMB has begun a major simplification of the policy framework for grants and agreements by establishing a new Title 2 in the CFR as the central location for both OMB guidance to Federal agencies and agency regulations implementing that guidance. When the simplification is complete, the guidance and regulations will be easier to find and use, a benefit not only to applicants and recipients, but also to Federal officials who make and administer assistance awards.

The simplification has multiple objectives. One objective is to make OMB guidance for grants and agreements easier to find by locating it in the new Title 2. As the first step toward that objective, OMB this year relocated Circular A-110, “Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-Profit Organizations.” In addition to Circular A-110, OMB will relocate six other OMB circulars that are accessible currently at OMB’s Internet site and two separate policy documents.² Although located in the CFR, the OMB circulars and policy documents still will be guidance to Federal agencies, rather than regulations.

A second objective is to co-locate the OMB guidance with Federal agencies’ regulations implementing it (the agency regulations will appear in a second subtitle of Title 2, following the subtitle with the OMB guidance). Most Federal agencies that award grants and agreements issue regulations related to some or all of the nine OMB guidance documents. Since each agency currently publishes its rules in its own title in the CFR, the rules implementing the OMB guidance on grants and agreements are dispersed in about two

² The six other OMB Circulars are the administrative requirements in the common rule implementing Circular A-102; the cost principles in Circulars A-21, A-87, and A-122; the audit requirements in Circular A-133; and the implementation of the Federal Program Information Act, in OMB Circular A-89. The two separate policy documents are the (1) combined OMB guidance on nonprocurement debarment and suspension, issued under Section 6 of Executive Order 12549 and last amended on June 26, 1995 (60 FR 33036) and on drug-free workplace, issued under the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988 (41 U.S.C. 701 *et seq.*), and (2) OMB guidance to Federal agencies on lobbying restrictions, to implement the requirements of 31 U.S.C. 1352, that was last amended on January 19, 1996 (61 FR 1412).

dozen CFR titles. A recipient of awards from more than one Federal agency therefore must go to multiple CFR locations to find the regulatory sources of requirements with which it must comply. Co-locating agency implementing rules with the OMB guidance in the newly established Title 2 of the CFR will reduce this burden.

A third objective of the simplification is to make the agency implementing regulations easier to use by issuing some of the OMB guidance in a form suitable for agency adoption. Policies and procedures for nonprocurement suspension and debarment, for example, are contained in OMB guidance that 33 Federal agencies (this number includes agencies that do not award grants but have responsibility for other non-procurement transactions) currently implement through a common rule. Each agency publishes in its own title of the CFR the full text of the common rule (see section III.B.4 of this report) and embedded within that text are any agency-specific variations the agency may have from the government-wide regulatory language.

OMB is working with the Interagency Suspension and Debarment Committee to replace each agency's separate publication of the full text of the guidance with a brief regulation that adopts the OMB guidance and clearly states any additions, clarifications, or exceptions in the agency's implementation of the guidance. This will reduce the volume of the nonprocurement suspension and debarment regulations in the CFR by approximately 750 pages. It also will make it much easier for a person who participates in nonprocurement transactions of multiple agencies to identify any variations that may exist in those agencies' policies and procedures for debarment and suspension. Similar opportunities exist for adoptable OMB guidance to replace current common rules on drug-free workplace and lobbying requirements.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- The Pre-Award Work Group made recommendations to OMB for resolving public comments on the proposal to establish Title 2 of the CFR and prepared a draft *Federal Register* notice. OMB established Title 2 on May 11, 2004 [69 FR 26276], with one subtitle for OMB guidance to Federal agencies and a second subtitle for agencies' regulations implementing the guidance.
- OMB relocated OMB Circular A-110 in its subtitle of the new Title 2 of the CFR May 11, 2004 [69 FR 26281] as part 215.

Future Plans

- OMB will relocate its other circulars in the new Title 2 of the CFR. The circulars initially will be relocated in Title 2 in their current form, pending recommendations from the P.L. 106-107 work groups that may streamline and simplify the guidance.
- OMB will work with appropriate interagency committees to issue in Title 2 its other guidance documents—on debarment and suspension, drug-free workplace, and lobbying requirements—in a form that is suitable for agency adoption.
- Each Federal agency with regulations implementing OMB guidance will establish a chapter in the second subtitle in the new Title 2 of the CFR. Agencies may re-issue their current regulations in that chapter once established and will be required to do so when OMB issues final changes to its guidance resulting from P.L. 106-107 initiatives.

B. Streamlining Pre-Award Actions

This year we completed several policy and operational initiatives that agencies have already begun to implement. Collectively, these initiatives offer potential applicants broader access to more complete and useful information about funding opportunities and reduce the administrative burden associated with application submission. Through the deployment of the Grants.gov storefront in October 2003, we accomplished one of the key pre-award streamlining objectives and implemented an important component of the Grants.gov E-Gov initiative in direct support of the President's Management Agenda. We wanted to make it easier for potential applicants to learn about funding opportunities for discretionary grant awards, locate the information they needed to decide whether to apply, and to determine the details of application, evaluation, and award.

Realization of this objective reduces disparate electronic systems and electronic and paper-based data collection requirements and implements standard processes and data definitions for Federal applicant/recipient interactions. This is an area of particular interest to applicants, who made known through their pre-award interactions with us, particularly the proliferation of electronic systems, were costly in terms of their staff resources and systems, and were generally inefficient.

1. Enhancing Information About Funding Opportunities

Grants.gov FIND

Consistent with the objective of making information about funding opportunities easier to find and use, the Grants.gov Program Management Office (PMO) deployed Grants.gov FIND (<http://www.grants.gov/Find>). Grants.gov FIND allows potential applicants to conduct a search of posted grant opportunities, through full text searches or through searches by funding opportunity, agency, funding instrument, funding activity category, or *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance* number. A user is able not only to view grant opportunity summaries (synopses) but also to access the full announcement (solicitation), which now must follow a standard government-wide format (as discussed in the following section of this report). Interested individuals or entities can also register to receive e-mail notices of posted funding opportunities using one or more established parameters, e.g., funding opportunity number, agency category, funding activity, interest and eligibility groups, or all funding opportunities. If they decide to apply, they also may do that through the storefront (see section III.B.2 of this report).

Since November 2003 when the requirement became effective, all of the 26 grant-making agencies have been posting their discretionary funding opportunities on Grants.gov FIND. Through May 2004 more than 3,000 discretionary grant opportunities have been posted. Grants.gov FIND also receives over 1 million "hits" and sends over 300,000 e-mail notifications per week at the request of potential applicants.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- After resolution by OMB of public comments received on OMB's June 23, 2003 [68 FR 37385] *Federal Register* notice and conclusion of a successful pilot program by the Grants.gov PMO, OMB issued the final policy directive for Grants.gov FIND on October 8, 2003 [68 FR 58147] (<http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/14mar20010800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2003/pdf/03-25488.pdf>). The policy, which became effective on November 7, 2003, requires Federal agencies to use Grants.gov FIND to post synopses of competing discretionary grant opportunities. The October 8

notice also incorporates the final Grants.gov FIND data elements and definitions, which OMB published in final form on June 23, 2003 [68 FR 37379] (http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/fedreg/062303standard_data_elements.pdf).

- The Grants.gov PMO worked with each of the 26 grant-making agencies to post synopses of their discretionary funding opportunities on Grants.gov FIND. Based on a phased deployment schedule, the agencies began posting synopses in February 2003, with all agencies being required to post their synopses by November 2003.
- The Grants.gov PMO conducted outreach to the various applicant constituencies and to agency staff to increase awareness of the Grants.gov initiative and train them on how to use Grants.gov FIND. Outreach efforts included monthly stakeholder meetings, train-the-trainer workshops, and grantor workshops. A Contact Center was established to address Federal staff and applicants' questions and provide assistance.

Future Plans

- The Grants.gov PMO will continue its outreach efforts to increase awareness of Grants.gov FIND as well as its operation of the Contact Center to address Federal staff and applicants' questions and provide assistance.
- The Grants.gov PMO will continue to solicit input regarding the design and functionality of Grants.gov FIND to ensure that users' needs are met. Additionally, the Grants.gov PMO will enhance and integrate Grants.gov's FIND and APPLY mechanisms.
- The Mandatory Grants Work Group, following a review of the potential use of Grants.gov FIND, will make recommendations on the appropriate use of Grants.gov FIND for mandatory grants (such as block and formula grants to States). These grants generally do not use a funding opportunity announcement.

Full Announcements of Funding Opportunities

An announcement gives potential applicants the information they need, such as the types of activity the agency will support, who is eligible to apply, and when and how to apply. The purpose of this objective is to help potential applicants for discretionary grant awards by making Federal agencies' announcements of funding opportunities more uniform in format and reduce, where possible, differences in related business practices. Public commenters noted that Federal agencies organize the information in their announcements in many different ways, making it hard for potential applicants to quickly find specific information. They also raised issues about business practices related to the application process, such as the amount of time that applicants are given to prepare applications and varying criteria that different Federal agencies use in determining that an application is late.

This year we completed the first of the two planned phases of this objective by issuing a standard format for organizing information in agencies' announcements. Agencies began to use the format in October 2003. The second phase is to develop guidance on business practices related to the application process—such as Federal agencies' criteria for determining that applications are late—that could be added into subsequent revisions of the standard announcement format.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- OMB published the final announcement format for announcements of discretionary grant funding opportunities on June 23, 2003 [68 FR 37370] and issued the associated policy directive.
- Federal agencies completed their implementing actions and began to use the standard announcement format.

Future Plans

- The Pre-Award Work Group will recommend guidance on business practices related to the application process for inclusion in subsequent OMB updates of the announcement format.

2. Applications--Making the Process Easier

Two major initiatives intended to streamline the application process were completed this year—establishing government-wide data standards for certain information (known as “core data”) in electronic applications for discretionary grants, and creating an electronic portal—Grants.gov APPLY (www.grants.gov/Apply)—to let applicants submit applications by the same means to any Federal agency.

Grants.gov APPLY allows an applicant to download an application package from Grants.gov and complete the application offline based on agency instructions. The application form includes a standard set of core data elements based on the updated version of the SF-424. After an applicant completes the required forms, they can be submitted electronically to Grants.gov, which transmits the application to the funding agency, thus reducing the administrative burden of using multiple systems with differing requirements to submit applications.

Grants.gov APPLY was launched on October 31, 2003. Through July 2004, more than 165 programs have been made available for electronic application through Grants.gov APPLY and nearly 1,000 applications have been submitted through the portal. Efforts continue to increase the number of programs in Grants.gov APPLY and the number of applications submitted through the portal. This includes deployment of cross-agency data sets for grant types and Federal market segments (e.g., mandatory grants, grants to individuals, research and related grants, and arts and humanities grants).

The FIND mechanism has been widely adopted by the grants community and become a primary tool in their daily work activities. Jerry Stuck, Executive Director of the Federal Demonstration Partnership, in public comments pointed to its usefulness in the research environment, “university researchers would rather spend more time conducting their research and less time pushing grant paperwork around or searching for the next funding opportunity.” Building on that point, Ron Di Melfi, Ph.D., Director, Office of Sponsored Programs, National University states, “I was amazed and pleased at how helpful, accessible and useful [Grants.gov] is. The search capability is comprehensive, fast, connects to the full announcement and leads one seamlessly to all of the guidelines and forms needed to proceed with an application.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- On April 8, 2003, OMB published in the *Federal Register* [68 FR 17090] (http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/fedreg/040803_standard_fed_grant_app.pdf) a notice proposing to

update the SF-424 and establish a standard set of data elements and definitions for both electronic and paper applications for discretionary grants. Based on that proposal, the Grants.gov PMO, with the assistance of the Interagency Electronic Grants Committee and the Electronic Standards Work Group operating under its auspices, adopted a standard core set of data elements for cover, budgetary, and project information based on the SF-424 for use in electronic submission of grant applications through Grants.gov.

- The Grants.gov PMO deployed the Grants.gov APPLY on October 31, 2003. The HHS Secretary, Tommy Thompson, held a press conference on December 9, 2003 to announce the launch of Grants.gov APPLY. Additionally, information packets about the initiative were sent to members of Congress, and all grant-making agencies.
- Grants.gov received the first electronic application on December 9, 2003. It was received from the Virginia Institute of Marine Science in response to a Department of Commerce solicitation.
- In compliance with OMB direction requiring Federal agencies to use Grants.gov APPLY (<http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy04/m04-05.html>), agencies have submitted a preliminary “ramp-up” schedule for including their grant programs in Grants.gov APPLY.
- An interagency work group identified a grant application data set and electronic forms for use in Grants.gov by the research grant community. The SF-424(R&R) consolidates application data currently used by Federal grant-making agencies with a research mission for their research and related (e.g., training) programs. Following OMB review, the SF-424(R&R) will become the standard Federal form for research and related grant applications submitted through Grants.gov, replacing numerous agency-specific forms.
- The Mandatory Grants Work Group began its review of the government-wide application data elements for discretionary grants to determine what modification may be needed for plans and applications under mandatory grant programs (including block and formula grants).
- The Grants.gov PMO conducted outreach efforts to agencies to assist them in publishing application packages in Grants.gov APPLY and to the applicant communities to increase awareness of Grants.gov APPLY. Outreach efforts included monthly stakeholder meetings, train-the-trainer workshops, grantor workshops, applicant “system-to-system” workshops, a data analysis/forms development workshop, and grantor “system-to-system” workshops. A Contact Center was established to address Federal staff and applicants’ questions and provide assistance.
- The Grants.gov PMO successfully deployed system-to-system interfaces between Grants.gov and agency “back-office” grants management systems (i.e., those internal to the agency).

Future Plans

- Agencies will complete their assessments of whether additional information is needed in their application packages to augment the core data set. Upon conclusion of agencies’ analyses, they will work with the Grants.gov PMO to identify existing electronic forms that can be re-used by multiple agencies as well as develop necessary agency-specific forms.

- Interagency work groups will consider the need and content of grant application data sets and forms for the arts and humanities community and for grants to individuals.
- The Grants.gov PMO will continue to work with OMB to monitor government-wide use of the SF-424 (R&R).
- The Grants.gov PMO will work with E-Authentication, another of the President's E-Gov initiatives, to conduct a pilot to test new, streamlined functionality for authentication services using web-based technology.
- The Grants.gov PMO will continue to work with the Federal agencies to increase adoption and utilization of Grants.gov APPLY.
- The Grants.gov PMO will expand Grants.gov APPLY to accept electronic plans and applications for mandatory grants across the Federal government.
- In conjunction with the Grants.gov PMO, the Mandatory Grants Work Group will complete its examination of data elements used to apply for mandatory grants.
- The agencies will participate in the government-wide Grants Management Line of Business initiative, tasked with recommending a common solution(s) to achieve end-to-end integrated grants management. In addition agencies will take the appropriate steps to align and migrate their grants related investments to the common government-wide solution(s).

3. Updating and Improving Nonprocurement Debarment and Suspension Rules

The Interagency Suspension and Debarment Committee (ISDC), which is associated with the Pre-Award Work Group, completed the update of two government-wide rules—the rule on nonprocurement debarment and suspension and the rule on drug-free workplace requirements. This is a major accomplishment, involving 33 agencies. The debarment and suspension rule helps to prevent poor performance, waste, fraud, and abuse in Federal programs by ensuring that federally funded activities are conducted with responsible entities. The drug-free workplace rule implements the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, as amended, as it applies to grants. In addition to providing better protection for Federal programs, the updated rules are in plain language and are therefore clearer and easier to use. These updated rules also streamline procedures by allowing agencies to use methods to provide for recipient compliance that are less burdensome than requiring each applicant to submit a certification with each application.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- The ISDC worked with OMB to publish the final version of the updated debarment and suspension and drug-free workplace common rules on November 26, 2003 [68 FR 66534].

Future Plans

- After OMB issues its guidance on nonprocurement debarment and suspension in a form suitable for agency adoption (see section A of this report), each agency will replace its publication of the full-text

common rule with a brief regulation in Title 2 of the CFR to adopt the guidance and state any agency-specific variations. The ISDC will help coordinate the regulatory changes.

4. Awards—Developing a Unified and Simplified Approach

As described in previous P.L. 106-107 reports, the Pre-Award Work Group is seeking to reduce unnecessary burdens on recipients by making Federal agencies' awards as alike as practicable. Work this year focused on developing an approach for establishing award terms and conditions to address the administrative requirements that largely derive from OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110.

The work group's goals are to:

- Have award terms and conditions that speak clearly to recipients.
- Eliminate unnecessary differences between administrative requirements in Federal agencies' awards and those in OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110, OMB's government-wide guidance to the agencies.
- Structure awards so that, if an agency does have administrative requirements that vary from the standard requirements in A-102 or A-110, the recipient can easily see which requirements in the award vary from the standard requirements.
- Streamline and simplify award requirements as much as is possible consistent with maintaining responsible stewardship of Federal funds.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- ◆ The Pre-Award Work Group developed a prototype of a recommended approach that could be issued as OMB guidance in the newly established Title 2 of the CFR and, when subsequently implemented by agencies, would standardize award format and language for common award terms and conditions.

Future Plans

- ◆ The Pre-Award Work Group will seek public comment by means of a *Federal Register* notice on proposed guidance establishing a standard format for discretionary awards and, to the extent practicable, standard content and language. The format will include the award notice with cover information; terms and conditions for national policy requirements contained in Federal statutes, Executive orders, and regulations; and terms and conditions for administrative requirements. The proposal for administrative requirements would include any recommended changes to current OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110.
- ◆ In conjunction with its recommendations on terms and conditions for national policy requirements, the Pre-Award Work Group will recommend a policy on use of certifications and assurances.
- ◆ The Mandatory Grants Work Group, working with the Pre-Award Work Group, will determine whether the government-wide standard organization and content for discretionary awards needs to be supplemented or modified for awards under mandatory grant programs. They will recommend changes that are needed to establish a government-wide standard for mandatory grants.

C. Simplifying Post-Award Requirements

1. Cost Principles—Eliminating Needless Differences

OMB issues cost principles that define allowable costs under federally supported programs and projects. Different sets of cost principles, developed at different times, apply to different types of grantees. The OMB cost principles are OMB Circular A-21, “Cost Principles for Educational Institutions,” A-87, “Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments,” and A-122, “Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations.” All three sets of cost principles share the same purpose but, in some cases, used different language to describe similar cost items. This sometimes resulted in different interpretations by Federal staff, recipients, and auditors. Our objective was to ensure that, where appropriate, the sets of OMB cost principles are consistent when describing similar cost items, while maintaining needed differences by type of entity. This objective was echoed in public comments asking that, in any effort to clarify the cost principles or make them more consistent, OMB should not change policy.

Summary of This Year’s Progress

- The Cost Principles Subgroup of the Post-Award Work Group completed its analysis of the comments received in response to the August 12, 2002 *Federal Register* [67 FR 52558] notice that proposed adopting common language for 46 cost items, deleting 12 cost items, and leaving 17 cost items unchanged. OMB published a final *Federal Register* notice on May 10, 2004 [69 FR 25970], which made 45 changes to cost items as originally proposed and revised the proposed language on 24, resulting in consistent descriptions and clarifying language for similar cost items across the three OMB cost principles circulars. The final *Federal Register* notice also withdrew proposed language on six cost items because of public concerns about potential changes in policy.

Future Plans

- Based on the comments received on the August 12, 2002 *Federal Register* notice and other constituency input, the Cost Principles Subgroup will assess whether there are further opportunities to streamline administration of the cost principles.

- The Cost Principles Subgroup will evaluate the feasibility of consolidating Federal guidance for preparing and reviewing indirect cost proposals. Currently, although governed by the applicable OMB cost principle circulars, Federal agencies/offices issue their own guidance addressing the same requirements with differing language, level of detail, and, sometimes, interpretation.

2. Post-Award Reporting—Improving the Quality of Information While Reducing Duplication

Post-award reports are a primary tool used by Federal agencies for monitoring recipient progress and activities under grants. At a minimum, agencies require recipients to report on their expenditures and on other financial matters and their progress. There are a variety of reporting forms and formats in use for this reporting. While financial reporting generally is quantitative, performance reports may be in narrative form or may require a variety of data. Agencies increasingly require grantees to report on their progress in achieving agreed-on performance measures (developed before award). Some agencies or programs also require other reports, e.g., invention reports or federally owned property reports, for which they have established their own content and submission requirements in the absence of government-wide standards.

Public comments expressed concerns with the number of different forms and formats required by the agencies for reporting purposes, the level of detail required, and the frequency and means of submission. The Post-Award Work Group's Reporting Subgroup reviewed the existing financial, personal and real property, and invention reporting requirements in order to develop proposals that include standard data elements, where appropriate, and common business processes for use of these types of reports. Each of these proposals, which is at a different state of completion, will streamline and standardize the reporting process, while retaining flexibility for agencies to determine whether to impose a reporting requirement at all or whether they need only a portion of the authorized information, and to specify the frequency of submission. For example, the financial reporting proposal is intended to consolidate the Financial Status Report (SF-269) and the Federal Cash Transactions Report (SF-272) in a single report, the Federal Financial Report (FFR). Most grant recipients currently are required to submit at least one of these reports under each award, with many recipients required to submit both. The FFR would accomplish the same purposes with a single form, allowing agencies to require all or only that portion of the information they need for their programs.

Our initial review of performance or progress reporting showed that, due to differences in the types of federally supported activities, performance reporting was not suited to the single-form approach taken for other types of reports. Currently, we are pursuing two approaches to performance or progress reporting—one for research awards and one for all other types of grant awards.

The proposed Performance/Progress Report (PPR)—developed by the Post-Award Reporting Subgroup for use in non-research awards—would allow agencies to obtain the information they need for their non-research activities from a menu of standard choices. The PPR would allow agencies to

- Establish similar reporting requirements for similar types of activities,
- Better fulfill their responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act, and
- Use information from the PPR in completing the Performance Assessment Rating Tool required by OMB.

Given their role in facilitating cross-agency efforts to address issues critical to the support of research, the Research Business Models (RBM) Subcommittee of the National Science and Technology Council's (NSTC's) Committee on Science has assumed responsibility for streamlining and standardizing progress reporting under Federal research awards. The RBM Subcommittee is working closely with OMB and the relevant P.L. 106-107 Work Groups and Subgroups in this effort.

Summary of This Year's Progress

- The Post-Award Reporting Subgroup considered the more than 200 public comments on the April 8, 2003 *Federal Register* notice [68 FR 17097] that proposed the FFR, made changes to the form and instructions in response to those comments, and is working with OMB to issue a final *Federal Register* notice.
- The Post-Award Reporting Subgroup developed a draft PPR for programs other than research and informally coordinated the draft with the Federal agencies and constituency groups to test its potential use.
- The RBM Subcommittee of the NSTC's Committee on Science began work on a standard format and instructions for research progress reporting.
- The Post-Award Reporting Subgroup developed a draft personal property report and instructions for government-wide use and sought informal agency comment.
- The Post-Award Reporting Subgroup developed a draft real property report for government-wide use. The draft reporting form incorporates and defines data elements commonly used by the Federal agencies.

Future Plans

- OMB will propose in the *Federal Register* for public comment revisions to its financial reporting policies in 2 CFR part 215 (Circular A-110) and Circular A-102 to accompany the new FFR.
- Following informal review of the PPR, the Post-Award Reporting Subgroup will make needed changes, develop a formal proposal, and draft a *Federal Register* notice.
- The RBM Subcommittee will develop its recommended approach to progress reporting and suggest the process for public input and the form of issuance of the resulting format and instructions.
- The Post-Award Reporting Subgroup will develop the business rules for use of the government-wide real and personal property reports and prepare the forms, instructions, and business rules for issuance in the *Federal Register* for public comment.
- The Post-Award Reporting Subgroup and RBM Subcommittee will develop policies and procedures in their respective areas of responsibility for the revised reporting requirements. The Pre-Award Work Group will include the policies and procedures for performance, financial, real and personal property, and invention reporting in the award terms and conditions and associated OMB guidance described in section B.4 of this report.

- OMB will publish in the *Federal Register* a final directive—developed by the Post-Award Reporting Subgroup following public comment—to establish standard data elements for a summary report of inventions.

3. Audits—Increasing Accountability While Decreasing Burden

Audits are an important means of providing reasonable assurance that grant recipients are managing Federal awards in compliance with applicable laws and regulations and the terms and conditions of the agreement. OMB Circular A-133, “Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations” establishes the policies for audit of governmental and non-profit entities, including institutions of higher education. The audit process involves both Federal and non-Federal constituencies. They include OMB, the Federal grant-awarding agencies, the Federal Audit Clearinghouse (FAC), non-Federal auditors, and grant recipients.

Our efforts in the audit area, through the Audit Oversight Work Group and its Subgroups, OMB, the Inspector General offices (IGs) and the audit community, are focused on increasing awareness of audit requirements, communicating them in a manner that everyone involved can understand, and improving the quality of audits and audit services. We want to make audit results a more useful tool for Federal agencies to monitor recipient compliance, for recipients to monitor subrecipient compliance, and for cognizant agencies to negotiate and approve indirect cost rates and cost allocation plans.

To achieve these objectives, we continue to look for opportunities to improve the annual OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement, the quality of audits, the data collection form for reporting on single audits, the FAC website, and other aspects of the FAC’s operations. The FAC recently made a new Image Management System (IMS) available for agency use. The IMS—a secure, web-based query system for authorized Federal agency users—allows users to download images of OMB Circular A-133 audit reporting packages submitted by recipients. Reporting packages typically include multiple documents, e.g., Financial Statements, Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, and auditor’s reports, which may be up to 500 pages in length. IMS, which has been positively received by users, will help agencies obtain complete information in a usable form and should reduce the number of individual queries to the FAC for this information.

The IG offices, under the leadership of the Department of Education, have undertaken a national single audit-sampling project to review and evaluate the quality of single audits (over 37,000 submitted in 2003). This project will provide a statistically reliable estimate of the extent to which single audits conform to applicable requirements, standards, and procedures. The findings and results of this project will be used as a basis for recommendations to improve the single audit process.

Summary of This Year’s Progress

- The Single Audit Users Subgroup assisted OMB in revising the Data Collection Form. OMB published the revised form in the *Federal Register* for public comment on August 15, 2003 [68 FR 48960] and released the final form in May 2004.
- Following completion of review by the Federal agencies and the audit community, the OMB Circular A-133 Single Audit Compliance Supplement Core Team produced the 2004 version of the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement*, an annual publication designed to provide auditors with

accurate and up-to-date information for the conduct of single audits. This included training to assist Federal agencies in updating existing program information. OMB published in the *Federal Register* on April 30, 2004 [69 FR 23825] the notice indicating the availability of the 2004 Compliance Supplement. The Compliance Supplement also is posted on OMB's website (http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a133_compliance/04/04toc.html).

- The Indirect Cost Rates Subgroup completed changes to improve the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* section addressing allowable costs and cost principles by providing guidance for auditing the different types of indirect cost rates and cost allocation plans. These changes are included in the *2004 Compliance Supplement*.
- The FAC made the IMS available for agency use. To facilitate use of the IMS, the FAC developed user instructions and frequently asked questions.

Future Plans

- The OMB Circular A-133 Single Audit Compliance Supplement Core Team will produce the 2005 version of the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement*. The Chief Financial Officers' Council's Improper Payments Committee is considering options for enhancing the single audit process to assist agencies in complying with the reporting requirements of the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002.
- The IG offices will continue to conduct the national audit-sampling project to evaluate the quality of single audits and to develop recommendations to improve the process
- The FAC will conduct training on use of the IMS for electronic submission of audit reports to authorized Federal agency users, and to make improvements based on agency input.

IV. THE ROAD AHEAD

We characterize our efforts to streamline and simplify the award and administration of Federal grants—by making transactions with Federal agencies easier, cheaper, quicker, and more understandable for the many thousands of grant applicants and recipients—as a long journey. This year we jointly arrived at several of our planned destinations. We took the first major steps toward establishing the interagency policy and systems framework that will make it easier for the public to find our administrative requirements and to identify funding opportunities and apply for them. The agencies are now tasked with implementing these changes and keeping apace with the field. Looking ahead, the agencies plan to continue an open dialog with various constituency groups in an effort to assess the effects of current streamlining projects, implement a new array of streamlined performance reports, and continue to modify the federal assistance policy guidance so as to present the information in a standard government-wide language and format. The challenge will be to sustain this momentum, complete our remaining initiatives, and evaluate and refine what we already accomplished. We believe the results thus far are encouraging and will keep us on the course to our ultimate destination.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ACTIVITIES

V. OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FUNCTIONS

This section of the report summarizes the Department of Commerce (DOC) efforts to implement Public Law P.L. 106-107), Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999. The DOC and its bureaus administered 64 grant programs and provided funding of approximately \$1.6 billion during fiscal year 2003. The DOC is an active participant with other federal agencies that are contributing to the government-wide implementation of P.L. 106-107. The DOC and its bureaus continue to actively implement P.L. 106-107 to streamline, simplify, and automate the grants process. The bureaus represent DOC at numerous working groups within the P.L. 106-107 community working to achieve its objectives. The following sections of this report summarize actions taken by DOC and its grant-making bureaus.

VI. PARTICIPATION IN THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STREAMLINING AND GRANTS.GOV EFFORTS

- The Department continues to be an active partner in E-grants initiatives, serving on the Grants.gov Executive Board and the Grants Management Line of Business Taskforce and by participating on workgroups and pilot activities. The Director of the Office of Acquisition Management (OAM) is a member of the government-wide steering committee for Grants.gov. OAM facilitated training workshops for Department personnel on the Grants.gov functions and represents the Department at monthly intergovernmental stakeholder meetings for Grants.gov.
- OAM's Grants Management Division conducted a pilot project to convert the National Telecommunications and Information Administration's (NTIA) grant payment systems for Public Telecommunications Facilities Program and Technologies Opportunities Program grants to the Department of the Treasury's Automated Standard Application for Payment (ASAP). This project compliments National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) participation in ASAP. The Department implemented ASAP.Gov within the NIST Grants and Agreements Management Division, the NIST Finance Division, and several grant program officials. NIST currently is an active participant on the ASAP Customer Board.
- NIST's Chief of Grants and Agreements participates in the workgroup that is reviewing the expanded use of the Federal Demonstration Partnership Standard Terms and Conditions.
- NIST participated in the Train-the-Trainer workshops conducted by Grants.gov and now has three trained personnel. The bureau is now working with Grants.gov personnel to implement a system-to-system connection for Fiscal Year 2005.
- On November 10, 2003, NOAA kicked off Grants Online development. NOAA takes a significant step to ensuring a more efficient Grants process. It is important to note that NOAA's applicants, recipients, and NOAA staff fully support Grants Online's consolidation of processes in order to obtain the maximum benefit this system offers. The entire schedule contains 10 iterations (full Grants Management Life cycle); each iteration will have successively more functionality. The system is planned for completion in November 2004 and will interface with Grants.gov.

- **GRANTS ONLINE PASSED THE TEST! GRANTS.GOV INTERFACE** On April 26, 2004, NOAA was informed that Grants Online passed the Grants.gov System-to-System Interface Test. Grants.gov Program Office said that Grants Online completed the system to system test plan in the fastest time of any of the agencies with which Grants.gov has worked. In addition to the successful completion of the mutual authentication tasks and the package and application downloads, Grants Online was successful in linking the downloaded packages to the developing back office system.
- NOAA participated in the development of the Standard Form SF 424, for Research & Related applicants. This working group is headed by the National Science Foundation, Department of Energy, and the National Institutes of Health. This Standard Form was developed in an effort to standardize and streamline application submissions from the research community.
- NOAA reduced the number of program specific application forms from four to one form for NOAA-wide use.
- NOAA continues to chair the PL 106-107 Interagency Post-Award Workgroup's Reports Subgroup. The Subgroup is working on five recipient reports. The goal in each case is to develop one government-wide form that can be used by all recipients. The five forms relate to: invention reporting; financial reporting; personal property reporting, real property reporting, performance reporting. The invention reporting team has completed an initial form and is awaiting the go-ahead from OMB for further development. The financial reporting team has responded to public comments on the initially designed form (which collapsed several currently approved OMB forms into one). It will then address a preamble for announcement to the public and detailed instructions. The personal property reporting team is currently developing a form to report accountable personal property. The form is being reviewed by the Reports Working Subgroup members. The real property team has been vetting a draft form among agencies that authorize the purchase of land and/or buildings. Based upon significant input, the team's latest version is being reviewed by the Reports Working Subgroup. The performance reporting team has completed a proposed performance progress report (PPR) standard form to be used by Federal agencies to collect performance information from recipients of Federal funds. The PPR is based in part on OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART) used to evaluate program performance in over 170 federal programs with budgets totaling over \$450 billion. In addition to being helpful to agencies in providing data to assist in completing the PART, the proposed form is intended to address the concerns of recipients that Federal agencies often collect the same, similar, or duplicative data in different forms and formats resulting in excessive burden and cost of reporting. Frequently, this information is not adequate to assess the performance of the program. This form is being reviewed by the Reports Working Group.
- NOAA is participating in the Office of the President's Office of Science and Technology Policy's ad hoc team regarding business practices to develop a simplified reporting form for scientific and other creative research.
- A coordinated effort was undertaken to perform a Gap Analysis and to gather requirements of the other Department of Commerce bureaus to provide management with a baseline to make NOAA Grants Online the Department's grants system. NOAA Grants Online submitted a proposal in response to an OMB request for the establishment of a Grant Management Line of Business.

- The Department supported the Grants.gov Program Management Office during FY 2003 by detailing an EDA employee to assist in its efforts. He served as the Department's representative to that office on a full time basis for six months and on a part-time basis for two months.

VII. INTERNAL EFFORTS TO CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT CONDUCTIVE TO GRANTS STREAMLINING AND SIMPLIFICATION AND ASSESS IMPACT OF CHANGES

General Approach

- The Office of the Chief Financial Officer and Assistant Secretary for Administration (CFO/ASA) reorganized the management of financial assistance policy and oversight to improve the overall efficiency of its administrative functions. Financial assistance management and policy oversight for the Department's grant programs was relocated to the Office of Acquisition Management (OAM).
- An effort is underway by OAM to establish a cohesive financial assistance community and partnerships linking program offices with the Office of General Counsel, the Office of Inspector General and DOC Grants Offices. The objective is to restructure and increase leadership and support for the financial assistance mission. To energize this objective, OAM has re-instituted The Department of Commerce Grants Council a vehicle for communication on P.L. 106-107 and other important grants policy issues.
- OAM will drive department-wide initiatives to improve grants management for DOC grant programs by
 - instituting a Risk Management Approach for DOC financial assistance
 - implementing a Balanced Scorecard for Department grant programs
 - increasing accountability under grant programs
- OAM will continue to support government-wide efforts and implement P.L. 106-107 within DOC through
 - implementation of a department-wide grants system
 - initiation of a department-wide re-engineering of the grants process
 - continuing participation in government-wide streamlining and Grants.gov
- NIST continues to collect data using the Balance Score Card that incorporates measures of the financial assistance pre- and post- award internal business processes.
- NOAA Grants Online's emergence as a cutting edge initiative in the realm of electronic grants has placed it under consideration for use as a Department-wide grants system. It has demonstrated significant potential for reducing paperwork, increasing accountability, simplifying the application process and energizing public interest in the Department's grant programs. A requirements analysis is currently underway for NIST and NTIA.

- NOAA's processing of Grants has been a top priority. NOAA upper management has been a strong advocate of Grants efficiency improvements throughout the Grants lifecycle. NOAA's strategic plan calls for increased use of Information Technology for improvement of internal and external services. Grants Online provides a vehicle to improve efficiencies. These efficiencies include Financial Performance, Grants Management, and Electronic Government. Grants Online is a critical initiative for NOAA.

With Grants Online, NOAA takes a significant step to ensure a more efficient Grants process. It is important that applicants, recipients, and NOAA Staff fully support Grants Online's consolidation of processes to obtain the maximum benefit this system offers.

In addition, applicants and NOAA Staff must take advantage of www.Grants.gov, which provides a single source to find Government wide Grants Opportunities. Grants.gov also provides a secure and reliable source to apply to Federal Grants. Moreover, Grants.gov provides a consolidation and usage of best practices within Federal Agencies, while allowing continuation while improving existing Business Processes.

Both NOAA and Grants Online have been recognized as being progressive in its partnership with Grants.gov. NOAA needs to require all grant applications to be submitted electronically through Grants.gov, so that the Grants Online can be fully utilized.

- A recipient of EDA financial assistance, the United Tribes Technical College, sponsored a workshop on the Grants.gov program for tribal planners and development officials during FY 2003.

VIII. IMPLEMENTING THE RECENT CHANGES AFFECTING THE PRE-AWARD PROCESS

A. Grants.govFIND:

- In FY 2004 NIST posted on Grants.gov four Federal funding opportunity notices
- The Department is in full compliance with policy guidance issued to require compliance with the Office of Federal Financial Management (OFFM) Policy Letter dated October 8, 2003.
- 100% of NOAA competitive programs are posted at Grants.gov. Application packages for NOAA programs will be ramped up and posted in early FY 05 (e.g., nature of guidance and organizational level at which issued).
- The Department is now fully compliant with the FIND portion of Grants.gov.
- All bureaus continue to pursue the goal of having the capability to participate in the APPLY portion by September 1, 2004.
- EDA issued five different funding announcements in FY 2004. All five announcements were promptly posted on the www.grants.gov website shortly after the publication of the corresponding truncated Federal Register notice.

B. Announcement Template

- NOAA is in compliance OFFM Policy Letter dated June 23, 2003. All NOAA competitive programs are announced utilizing the template. NOAA has streamlined its announcement by publishing in the Federal Register an Omnibus Announcement (35 different programs). The full funding opportunity is posted at FedGrants.gov (e.g., organizational level at which issued).
- EDA issued five different funding announcements in FY 2004. All five announcements were prepared in accordance with the standard template announcement and were promptly posted on the www.grants.gov website shortly after the publication of the corresponding truncated Federal Register notice.

C. The Grants.gov Portal for Electronic Applications

- In FY 2004 NIST received only 1 application through Grants.gov
- NIST continues to work with Grants.gov on the system-to-system connection
- EDA's authorizing legislation lapsed September 30, 2003. The House has passed legislation reauthorizing EDA, but the Senate has not yet done so. The legislation that finally emerges from Congress will likely differ in many aspects from the legislation that expired in 2003. If that occurs, EDA will need to amend its current regulations and alter the information that EDA solicits in its application. EDA's current application forms are valid through December 31, 2005. EDA expects to adopt OMB's standard application forms for use in its grant by that date. However, EDA also expects it will be necessary to require applicants to complete some supplementary forms.
- **Grants.gov & NOAA** - On October 31, 2003, Grants.Gov's Find & Apply kicked off. NOAA is DOC's representative in participating in this important event. NOAA's Grants Management Division has been working with the National Marine Fisheries Service in encouraging applicants of their Chesapeake Bay Fisheries Research Program to submit applications through Grants.gov.
- On December 9, 2003, Grants.gov announced that NOAA was the first Federal Agency to receive a grant application through the Grants.gov portal. The application was submitted by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science in response to a request for applications from the NOAA Chesapeake Bay Studies program.